

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Adaress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|-----------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/156,311 | | 09/17/1998 | MASAHIKO SAKAYORI | B588-010 | 9920 | |
| 26272 | 7590 | 09/18/2002 | | • | | |
| 110-111- | | & DALEY | EXAMINER | | | |
| 2ND FLOO 330 MADI | SON AVE | | BACKER, FIRMIN | | | |
| NEW YOR | .K, NY 10 | 0017 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 3621 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 09/18/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/156,311 | SAKAYORI ET AL. | | | | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Firmin Backer | 3621 V | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount of the setting that is a set of the corresponding amount of the | g date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension | | | | |
| fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7 | ce later than three months after the mail 04(b). | ing date of the final rejection, even if timely | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mater | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | on(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo | (s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below | will be entered and an or appended. | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | İ | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 60-96. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a | a) <u> approved or b)</u> <u> </u> | roved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment was not entered because they raise new issue such as "actively" that require further search and consideration.